IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 23691 of 1999

Between:

Dhara Jayalakshmi W/o. Naganjaneyulu,
R/o.New Paloncha, Khammam District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Superintendent of Police,
Khammam, Khammam District.
2 Circle Inspector of Police, Paloncha urban Police Station,
Khammam District.
3 Mr. Saranga Pani, S/o. Not known,
Paloncha urban Police Station, Khammam District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the first respondent in not taking any action against the third respondent is illegal and arbitrary and consequently direct the first respondent to take action on the representation dated 27.10.1999 made by the petitioner herein and further direct the third respondent not to harass the petitioner by adopting illegal methods.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.CH.RAVINDER

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2: GP FOR HOME

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: None appeared

The Court made the following :

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.23691 OF 1999

ORDER:

The petitioner gave a complaint to second respondent alleging that her husband Naga Anjaneyulu again married Mallela Latha and that the third respondent herein demanded that the petitioner should give an amount of Rs.2,00,000/- to the said Latha. Alleging that the first respondent did not take any action on the complaint given by the petitioner, present writ petition is filed.

The learned Government Pleader submits that after receiving the complaint of the petitioner, a case under Section 494 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (IPC) was registered being crime No.49 of 1999 of P.S., Paloncha and a charge sheet was also filed. In that view of the matter, the cause of action does not survive and the writ petition is accordingly dismissed as infructuous.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO,J)

08.06.2005

pln

To

1. The Superintendent of Police, Khammam, Khammam District.
2. The Circle Inspector of Police, Paloncha Urban Police Station, Khammam District.
3. The Sub Inspector of Police, Paloncha Urban Police Station, Khammam District.
4. Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Home, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).
5. Two CD copies.